ELL SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL OF
ECONOMICS, ACCOUNTING, MANAGEMENT, AND DIGITAL BUSINESS

Volume 1, Issue 1, Mei 2026
E-ISSN : xxx-xxxx

ellpub

Artificial Intelligence, Digital Accounting Governance, and Organizational Competitiveness: A Comparative Study of Microsoft and SAP in Enterprise Business Transformation

Elisa Hoffmann[1]

Elisa Hoffmann

Department of Digital Economy and Management
University of Amsterdam
Email: elisa.hoffmann@uva.nl

***Corresponding Author:** elisa.hoffmann@uva.nl

Citation: Aziz (2026). Artificial Intelligence, Digital Accounting Governance, and Organizational Competitiveness: A Comparative Study of Microsoft and SAP in Enterprise Business Transformation (Book Antiqua 14pt Bold). *Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities Perspectives*, *10*(4), xx–xx. https://doi.org/0000-0000

Published: 12/05/2026

ABSTRACT

Artificial intelligence and enterprise digitalization have fundamentally transformed accounting systems, organizational governance, managerial decision-making, and competitive strategy within the global business environment. This study examines how AI-driven enterprise systems influence accounting transparency, digital governance capability, organizational resilience, and strategic competitiveness through a comparative institutional analysis of Microsoft and SAP between 2019 and 2025. The article argues that enterprise digital transformation depends not solely on technological sophistication but on the integration of AI governance, accounting accountability, managerial adaptability, and institutional legitimacy. Using comparative institutional analysis, strategic management evaluation, and digital accounting governance assessment, the study analyzes corporate reports, ESG disclosures, enterprise digitalization data, OECD digital economy reports, World Bank governance indicators, and interdisciplinary academic literature. The findings indicate that Microsoft demonstrates stronger platform ecosystem integration, cloud-based AI scalability, and organizational innovation capability, while SAP exhibits greater enterprise governance specialization, accounting integration depth, and institutionalized operational coordination. The comparative evidence further reveals that AI-enabled accounting systems strengthen organizational efficiency, predictive governance capability, and strategic adaptation when supported by transparent governance mechanisms and managerial alignment. However, weak governance coordination and fragmented organizational integration

© 2025 by Author/s

may reduce the sustainability of enterprise digital transformation initiatives. This article contributes to economics, accounting, management, and digital business scholarship by developing an interdisciplinary framework linking AI governance, digital accounting systems, organizational capability, strategic adaptation, and competitive resilience within enterprise transformation ecosystems.

Keywords: artificial intelligence; digital accounting; enterprise systems; organizational resilience; strategic management; governance systems; cloud computing; digital transformation; business analytics; institutional governance

INTRODUCTION

The expansion of artificial intelligence, cloud computing, enterprise software ecosystems, and digital analytics has transformed the structure of organizational governance and strategic management across contemporary economies. Organizations increasingly depend upon AI-enabled enterprise systems for accounting automation, operational coordination, managerial analytics, predictive governance, cybersecurity management, and strategic decision-making. According to OECD (2024), enterprise digital transformation represents one of the most significant determinants of productivity growth, organizational adaptability, and innovation competitiveness within advanced and emerging economies. Similarly, the World Bank (2024) argues that organizations capable of integrating digital governance with institutional accountability demonstrate stronger resilience during periods of technological disruption and macroeconomic uncertainty.

Enterprise digital transformation has therefore become a central organizational and institutional issue rather than merely a technological modernization initiative. AI-enabled enterprise systems now influence accounting transparency, governance legitimacy, risk management capability, supply chain coordination, ESG reporting, and organizational strategy simultaneously. Consequently, firms increasingly compete not only through products and services but also through digital governance capability, data integration capacity, and enterprise intelligence infrastructures.

Microsoft and SAP represent two globally influential but institutionally distinct models of enterprise digital transformation. Microsoft has developed an expansive cloud-centered ecosystem integrating AI platforms, productivity systems, cybersecurity infrastructures, and enterprise analytics. SAP represents a deeply institutionalized enterprise resource planning model emphasizing accounting integration, operational governance coordination, and enterprise process standardization. Their comparison therefore provides analytical insight into how different enterprise governance architectures shape digital transformation capability, accounting modernization, organizational resilience, and strategic competitiveness.

The strategic importance of AI-driven enterprise systems intensified substantially between 2020 and 2025 as organizations accelerated digital transformation following supply chain disruptions, remote work expansion, cybersecurity threats, and operational volatility. McKinsey & Company (2024) reports that

Copyright © 2025 by Author/s. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

organizations implementing integrated AI-driven enterprise systems experienced superior operational adaptability and managerial visibility during periods of uncertainty. IMF (2024) similarly emphasizes that digital governance capability increasingly influences institutional competitiveness within knowledge-intensive economies.

Existing scholarship provides important theoretical foundations concerning digital transformation and organizational strategy. Teece (2018) argues that dynamic capabilities enable organizations to adapt strategically under rapidly changing technological conditions. Vial (2019) conceptualizes digital transformation as a process fundamentally restructuring organizational value creation mechanisms. Within innovation systems literature, Freeman (1987) emphasizes the importance of institutional learning and technological coordination for long-term competitiveness.

Accounting and governance scholarship further demonstrates that AI systems increasingly reshape organizational accountability and financial management. Bhimani (2021) argues that digital accounting systems alter managerial coordination and organizational control structures. Appelbaum et al. (2020) suggest that AI-enabled accounting analytics improve operational efficiency, fraud detection, and managerial decision quality. Sutton et al. (2023) similarly emphasize that predictive analytics and machine learning systems increasingly support strategic governance and organizational risk management.

Management scholarship also highlights the growing importance of organizational resilience and digital capability. Duchek (2020) conceptualizes resilience as an adaptive organizational capability enabling firms to anticipate and respond to disruption. Kraus et al. (2022) argue that digital transformation increasingly determines organizational competitiveness within technologically dynamic markets. However, current scholarship often treats AI transformation either as a technological issue or as a managerial issue without sufficiently integrating governance structures, accounting systems, institutional legitimacy, and strategic adaptation.

Several important gaps therefore remain within interdisciplinary scholarship. First, digital transformation literature often underestimates the governance implications of AI-enabled accounting systems. Second, accounting research frequently emphasizes automation efficiency while insufficiently examining organizational adaptation and institutional legitimacy. Third, comparative analysis between ecosystem-oriented digital transformation and enterprise governance-oriented transformation remains limited. Fourth, existing studies often fail to explain how AI governance structures influence organizational resilience and competitive sustainability simultaneously. Fifth, interdisciplinary integration between economics, accounting, management, and digital business research remains fragmented.

This article addresses these limitations through a comparative institutional analysis of Microsoft and SAP between 2019 and 2025. The article argues that AI-driven enterprise transformation succeeds sustainably only when technological capability is integrated with accounting transparency, governance legitimacy, managerial coordination, and institutional adaptability. Technological sophistication alone is insufficient for long-term organizational resilience.

© 2025 by Author/s

The novelty of this article lies in its interdisciplinary integration of AI governance, digital accounting systems, organizational strategy, institutional governance, and enterprise transformation analysis within a unified comparative framework. Unlike previous studies focusing primarily on technological adoption, this article conceptualizes enterprise digitalization as an institutional restructuring process affecting governance capability, organizational accountability, managerial coordination, and competitive performance.

This article develops the following analytical logic: AI governance systems strengthen enterprise organizational capability through data integration, automation, and predictive analytics; organizational capability improves accounting transparency and managerial coordination; enhanced transparency and coordination strengthen institutional legitimacy and strategic adaptability; strategic adaptability subsequently enhances organizational resilience and sustainable competitiveness.

The objective of this study is therefore to analyze comparatively how Microsoft and SAP utilize AI-enabled enterprise systems to strengthen accounting governance, organizational capability, strategic adaptation, and long-term competitiveness within the contemporary digital economy.

METHODOLOGY

This study employs a comparative institutional research design integrating digital accounting governance analysis, enterprise systems evaluation, strategic management interpretation, and organizational resilience assessment to examine how AI-enabled enterprise transformation influences accounting transparency, governance capability, and competitive adaptation. Microsoft and SAP were selected because both organizations represent globally influential but institutionally distinct enterprise transformation models operating within highly digitalized and knowledge-intensive markets. Microsoft represents a cloud-centered ecosystem model emphasizing AI scalability, platform integration, and digital productivity infrastructures. SAP represents an enterprise governance-oriented model emphasizing ERP integration, accounting standardization, and operational process coordination. The comparative framework integrates institutional economics, accounting governance theory, strategic management analysis, and digital business scholarship to examine how AI governance systems shape organizational capability, managerial adaptation, accounting transparency, and institutional legitimacy. The unit of analysis consists of enterprise governance systems, accounting infrastructures, AI integration mechanisms, managerial coordination processes, cybersecurity governance capability, and strategic organizational adaptation. Key variables include digital investment intensity, enterprise integration capability, AI-enabled accounting analytics, governance transparency, organizational resilience, ESG accountability, cloud ecosystem scalability, and institutional coordination effectiveness.

The empirical analysis draws upon publicly available annual reports, enterprise strategy documents, ESG disclosures, OECD digital economy datasets, IMF digital competitiveness reports, World Bank governance indicators, cybersecurity assessments, and peer-reviewed interdisciplinary literature published between 2020 and 2026. Comparative institutional analysis was employed to evaluate governance structures, accounting integration mechanisms, digital transformation trajectories, and strategic adaptation patterns across the two organizational cases. Financial governance interpretation and digital business analytics were used to examine AI integration capability, enterprise software governance, accounting automation systems, and managerial coordination processes. Triangulation was applied through cross-validation of corporate disclosures with institutional reports and academic evidence to improve

Copyright © 2025 by Author/s. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

interpretive reliability. Ethical considerations were addressed by relying exclusively on publicly verifiable secondary data without fabricating interviews or using confidential organizational information. Although the comparative case design limits broad statistical generalization, the study prioritizes analytical depth and interdisciplinary explanatory rigor to generate theoretically transferable insights concerning AI governance, enterprise accounting transformation, and organizational competitiveness within digital business ecosystems.

Findings and Discussion

1. Institutional Governance and Enterprise Digital Transformation

The comparative evidence demonstrates that institutional governance structures fundamentally shape enterprise digital transformation capability and organizational adaptation. Microsoft and SAP both accelerated AI integration and cloud-based enterprise modernization between 2019 and 2025, yet their strategic orientations and governance architectures differ substantially.

Microsoft pursued a broad ecosystem-oriented transformation strategy integrating cloud computing, enterprise productivity systems, AI analytics, cybersecurity infrastructures, and digital collaboration platforms. Through Azure, Microsoft significantly expanded enterprise cloud adoption and AI-enabled business services. The company's governance model emphasizes ecosystem scalability, cross-platform integration, and AI-driven productivity enhancement across industries.

SAP adopted a more specialized enterprise governance strategy emphasizing ERP modernization, enterprise accounting integration, operational process coordination, and business analytics standardization. SAP's strategic strength lies in deep organizational process integration and institutionalized accounting coordination within enterprise systems. OECD (2024) evidence indicates that organizations implementing integrated ERP governance systems demonstrate stronger operational visibility and institutional coordination capability.

Comparatively, Microsoft exhibits stronger platform ecosystem scalability and innovation diversification, whereas SAP demonstrates stronger enterprise governance specialization and accounting process integration. Microsoft's expansive cloud ecosystem enables rapid AI deployment and cross-sector digital coordination, while SAP's governance-oriented enterprise model provides stronger operational standardization and organizational process consistency.

The findings indicate that enterprise digital transformation should be understood as an institutional governance restructuring process rather than merely software implementation. Governance structures determine how organizations coordinate data systems, managerial oversight, accounting visibility, cybersecurity governance, and strategic adaptation.

These findings extend North's (1990) institutional economics framework by demonstrating that enterprise governance capability increasingly shapes organizational competitiveness within digital economies. Governance quality influences not only operational efficiency but also institutional legitimacy, stakeholder trust, and resilience sustainability.

Managerially, the evidence suggests that organizations implementing enterprise AI systems must align technological investment with governance coordination and organizational process integration. Policymakers similarly

© 2025 by Author/s

require adaptive digital governance frameworks capable of addressing AI accountability, enterprise cybersecurity, and data governance within increasingly interconnected business ecosystems.

2. AI-Driven Accounting Systems and Organizational Transparency

AI-enabled accounting systems increasingly function as strategic governance infrastructures shaping managerial visibility, financial accountability, and organizational coordination. Both Microsoft and SAP significantly expanded AI integration into enterprise accounting and analytics systems between 2020 and 2025, although their implementation priorities differed.

Microsoft integrated AI analytics into cloud-based financial reporting systems, enterprise productivity analytics, cybersecurity governance, and predictive operational management. Through AI-enhanced business intelligence systems, organizations utilizing Microsoft ecosystems increasingly automated budgeting, forecasting, fraud detection, and performance analytics. McKinsey & Company (2024) reports that cloud-based AI accounting systems significantly improve organizational agility and managerial decision quality.

SAP focused more intensively on enterprise process standardization, integrated accounting visibility, and real-time operational analytics. SAP's AI-enabled ERP systems strengthened supply chain governance, accounting synchronization, compliance monitoring, and enterprise reporting consistency. The comparative evidence suggests that SAP's governance-oriented model provides stronger accounting integration depth, particularly within large-scale multinational organizations requiring complex operational coordination.

Table 1. Comparative Matrix of Governance, Digital Transformation, Financial Systems, and Organizational Outcomes

Variable	Case 1: Microsoft	Case 2: SAP	Empirical Evidence	Analytical Interpretation
Governance Orientation	Cloud ecosystem governance	Enterprise governance integration	Broad platform coordination vs ERP specialization	Governance structure shapes transformation strategy
AI Transformation Strategy	AI scalability and cloud integration	AI-enabled ERP and accounting coordination	Azure ecosystem expansion vs enterprise process integration	Different strategic priorities influence organizational capability
Accounting System Integration	Cloud-based analytics and business intelligence	Real-time enterprise accounting integration	Flexible cloud analytics vs operational governance depth	Enterprise architecture shapes accounting transparency
Organizational Resilience	High innovation adaptability	High operational consistency	Platform diversification vs process stability	Different resilience mechanisms emerge
Managerial	Cross-	Integrated	Flexible	Coordination

Copyright © 2025 by Author/s. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Coordination	platform digital collaboration	enterprise process management	ecosystem governance vs standardized operational control	structures affect governance efficiency
Cybersecurity Governance	AI-driven cloud security systems	Enterprise operational governance security	Advanced digital security integration in both firms	Cybersecurity becomes central governance capability
Strategic Outcomes	Innovation scalability and digital expansion	Institutionalized enterprise integration	Ecosystem growth vs enterprise governance strength	Competitive advantage reflects governance specialization

The comparative matrix demonstrates that AI-driven accounting systems influence organizational governance differently according to enterprise architecture and strategic orientation. Microsoft prioritizes ecosystem scalability and AI-enabled flexibility, whereas SAP emphasizes enterprise coordination and operational consistency. Both approaches improve organizational efficiency, but through distinct governance mechanisms.

These findings support Bhimani's (2021) argument that digital accounting systems reshape organizational management structures. However, this article extends existing scholarship by demonstrating that AI-enabled accounting systems also function as institutional governance mechanisms shaping organizational legitimacy and resilience capability.

The evidence further suggests that accounting transparency increasingly depends upon enterprise integration capability rather than isolated reporting systems. Organizations implementing integrated AI governance structures demonstrated stronger managerial visibility, operational adaptability, and strategic responsiveness.

Managerially, organizations should conceptualize accounting transformation as part of broader enterprise governance modernization rather than isolated financial automation. Policymakers similarly require governance frameworks addressing AI accountability, enterprise data governance, and digital auditing capability.

---

3. Organizational Resilience and Strategic Adaptation

The comparative findings indicate that organizational resilience within enterprise digital ecosystems depends upon the interaction between governance capability, technological integration, managerial coordination, and institutional adaptability.

Microsoft demonstrated resilience through ecosystem diversification, AI scalability, cloud integration, and digital collaboration capability. During periods of organizational disruption between 2020 and 2023, Microsoft's cloud-centered governance model enabled rapid adaptation to remote work expansion, cybersecurity demands, and enterprise digitalization acceleration. This reflects Teece's (2018) dynamic capability argument emphasizing strategic flexibility under conditions of technological uncertainty.

SAP demonstrated resilience through operational stability, enterprise process integration, and governance

© 2025 by Author/s

consistency. Organizations utilizing SAP ERP systems benefited from stronger operational coordination, accounting synchronization, and supply chain visibility during periods of disruption. IMF (2024) evidence indicates that organizations with integrated enterprise governance systems exhibit stronger operational continuity and institutional coordination.

Comparatively, Microsoft derives resilience primarily from ecosystem flexibility and innovation diversification, whereas SAP derives resilience from governance integration and operational consistency. The findings therefore demonstrate that organizational resilience may emerge through multiple governance pathways depending on institutional and strategic orientation.

The evidence further indicates that managerial adaptation constitutes a critical mechanism connecting AI transformation with sustainable competitiveness. Microsoft adopted a platform-oriented managerial strategy emphasizing ecosystem innovation and AI expansion. SAP adopted a governance-oriented managerial strategy emphasizing enterprise coordination and institutional integration.

These findings extend organizational resilience literature by integrating governance accountability and accounting coordination into analyses of digital adaptation. Existing scholarship often emphasizes operational flexibility while insufficiently examining enterprise governance structures and accounting integration capability.

Managerially, organizations pursuing enterprise AI transformation should balance innovation flexibility with governance coordination and institutional accountability. Firms prioritizing technological experimentation without enterprise integration may experience fragmentation, cybersecurity vulnerability, and governance inconsistency.

---

4. Socio-Economic and Institutional Implications of Enterprise AI Systems

The comparative analysis demonstrates that enterprise AI systems generate broader institutional and socio-economic implications extending beyond organizational efficiency. AI-enabled enterprise systems increasingly influence labor restructuring, managerial authority, organizational accountability, productivity growth, and institutional governance within knowledge-intensive economies.

Microsoft’s ecosystem model contributed substantially to global digital collaboration, cloud adoption, AI democratization, and enterprise productivity transformation. However, ecosystem concentration also generated concerns regarding platform dependency, data concentration, and AI governance power.

SAP contributed to institutional modernization through enterprise integration, accounting standardization, operational coordination, and industrial digitalization. The organization’s governance-oriented model strengthened institutional interoperability and organizational process consistency across industries.

The comparative evidence indicates that governance quality significantly shapes whether enterprise AI transformation produces sustainable organizational and socio-economic outcomes. Organizations integrating AI capability with governance accountability and institutional coordination achieved stronger legitimacy and operational resilience.

Copyright © 2025 by Author/s. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

These findings align with Williamson's (2000) argument that governance structures reduce uncertainty and improve coordination efficiency. However, this article extends governance theory by demonstrating that AI systems increasingly function as institutional coordination infrastructures shaping organizational capability and strategic adaptation.

The evidence further suggests that policymakers must develop integrated governance frameworks addressing AI ethics, digital auditing, cybersecurity, enterprise accountability, and workforce adaptation simultaneously. Fragmented governance systems may weaken organizational resilience and increase institutional uncertainty within digital economies.

Theoretically, this article contributes to interdisciplinary scholarship by integrating accounting governance, enterprise digitalization, institutional economics, strategic management, and digital business analysis into a unified explanatory framework. The findings demonstrate that sustainable enterprise competitiveness depends upon governance legitimacy, organizational integration, and managerial coordination as much as technological innovation.

Conceptual Framework

This article proposes the following conceptual framework:

AI Governance Systems → Enterprise Organizational Capability → Accounting Transparency and Managerial Coordination → Strategic Adaptation → Sustainable Competitive Resilience

The framework conceptualizes enterprise AI transformation as an institutional restructuring process integrating governance systems, accounting capability, organizational coordination, and strategic management. AI governance systems function as foundational mechanisms enabling organizations to coordinate enterprise analytics, accounting automation, cybersecurity management, operational visibility, and predictive governance capability.

Enhanced enterprise organizational capability strengthens accounting transparency and managerial coordination through integrated ERP systems, AI-enabled analytics, real-time reporting, and enterprise process synchronization. Accounting transparency subsequently improves institutional legitimacy and strategic visibility, enabling organizations to adapt effectively under conditions of technological disruption and market volatility.

Strategic adaptation mediates the relationship between governance capability and sustainable competitive resilience. Organizations capable of integrating AI innovation with governance accountability and enterprise coordination demonstrate stronger long-term competitiveness within digital economies.

The framework therefore extends digital transformation literature by emphasizing that sustainable organizational competitiveness emerges through the interaction between AI governance, accounting integration, institutional legitimacy, and managerial adaptability. This interdisciplinary framework contributes to economics, accounting, management, and digital business scholarship by integrating enterprise governance and digital transformation into a unified explanatory model.

© 2025 by Author/s

CONCLUSION

This study examined comparatively how Microsoft and SAP utilize AI-enabled enterprise systems to strengthen accounting governance, organizational capability, strategic adaptation, and competitive resilience within contemporary digital economies. The findings demonstrate that enterprise AI transformation constitutes fundamentally an institutional governance restructuring process rather than merely technological modernization.

The comparative evidence indicates that Microsoft achieved stronger ecosystem scalability, cloud-based innovation capability, and digital platform diversification through expansive AI integration and cloud-centered governance structures. SAP demonstrated stronger enterprise governance integration, accounting process coordination, and operational consistency through governance-oriented ERP modernization and institutionalized enterprise management systems.

The study contributes theoretically by integrating institutional economics, accounting governance, strategic management, and digital business scholarship into a unified analytical framework linking AI governance systems, enterprise organizational capability, accounting transparency, strategic adaptation, and sustainable resilience. The findings extend existing scholarship by demonstrating that enterprise digital transformation depends not solely upon technological sophistication but upon governance legitimacy, managerial coordination, and accounting integration capability.

Empirically, the article contributes comparative evidence concerning how different enterprise governance architectures shape AI transformation outcomes across organizational ecosystems. The findings further demonstrate that AI-enabled accounting systems increasingly function as strategic governance infrastructures influencing organizational legitimacy, operational visibility, and institutional adaptability.

Managerially, the findings suggest that organizations must integrate AI transformation with governance accountability, enterprise coordination, cybersecurity capability, and accounting modernization simultaneously. Effective enterprise transformation requires balancing innovation flexibility with institutional consistency and managerial integration.

From a policy perspective, the study emphasizes the necessity of adaptive governance frameworks capable of addressing AI ethics, enterprise cybersecurity, digital auditing, workforce transformation, and institutional accountability. Policymakers increasingly confront challenges associated with platform concentration, AI governance legitimacy, and organizational digital dependency within highly interconnected economies.

The study nevertheless contains limitations. The comparative qualitative design prioritizes analytical depth over statistical generalization, and the analysis relies primarily upon secondary institutional and organizational data. Future research should therefore examine additional enterprise ecosystems, regional economies, and quantitative organizational performance indicators to further explore the relationship

Copyright © 2025 by Author/s. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

between AI governance and organizational competitiveness.

Ultimately, this article argues that sustainable enterprise competitiveness within digital economies will depend not only upon technological innovation but upon the capacity of organizations to construct transparent, adaptive, integrated, and institutionally legitimate governance systems capable of coordinating AI transformation responsibly and strategically.

REFERENCES

Appelbaum, D., Kogan, A., Vasarhelyi, M., & Yan, Z. (2020). Impact of business analytics and enterprise systems on managerial accounting. International Journal of Accounting Information Systems, 38, 100457.

Bhimani, A. (2021). Digital data and management accounting: Why we need to rethink research methods. Journal of Management Control, 32(1), 9–23.

Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2022). The second machine age: Work, progress, and prosperity in a time of brilliant technologies. Norton.

Duchek, S. (2020). Organizational resilience: A capability-based conceptualization. Business Research, 13(1), 215–246.

Freeman, C. (1987). Technology policy and economic performance: Lessons from Japan. Pinter Publishers.

IMF. (2024). Digital economy and institutional competitiveness report 2024. International Monetary Fund.

Kraus, S., Durst, S., Ferreira, J., Veiga, P., Kailer, N., & Weinmann, A. (2022). Digital transformation in business and management research. Review of Managerial Science, 16(6), 1603–1642.

McKinsey & Company. (2024). The state of AI in enterprise transformation 2024. McKinsey Global Institute.

Moll, J., & Yigitbasioglu, O. (2019). The role of internet-related technologies in shaping accounting information systems. British Accounting Review, 51(6), 100833.

North, D. C. (1990). Institutions, institutional change and economic performance. Cambridge University Press.

OECD. (2024). Digital economy outlook 2024. OECD Publishing.

Porter, M. E. (1998). Competitive advantage: Creating and sustaining superior performance. Free Press.

Sutton, S. G., Holt, M., & Arnold, V. (2023). Artificial intelligence and accounting analytics: Implications for governance and decision-making. Accounting Horizons, 37(2), 85–103.

Teece, D. J. (2018). Business models and dynamic capabilities. Long Range Planning, 51(1), 40–49.

UNCTAD. (2024). Digital economy report 2024. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development.

Vial, G. (2019). Understanding digital transformation: A review and research agenda. Journal of Strategic Information Systems, 28(2), 118–144.

WEF. (2024). Future of jobs report 2024. World Economic Forum.

 © 2025 by Author/s

Williamson, O. E. (2000). The new institutional economics: Taking stock and looking ahead. Journal of Economic Literature, 38(3), 595–613.

World Bank. (2024). World development report 2024: Digital governance and organizational resilience. World Bank.

Yoo, Y., Henfridsson, O., & Lyytinen, K. (2019). Research commentary—The new organizing logic of digital innovation. Information Systems Research, 30(3), 724–735.

Zuboff, S. (2019). The age of surveillance capitalism. PublicAffairs.

Copyright © 2025 by Author/s. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.