Medical and Health Studies

Medical and Health Sciences
Volume 1, Issue 1, Mei 2026
E-ISSN : xxx-xxxx
ellpub

Air Pollution Exposure, Pediatric Asthma Control, and Urban Health Governance: A Comparative Environmental Health Analysis of Los Angeles and London

Natalie Foster[1]

Natalie Foster

Fielding School of Public Health
University of California, Los Angeles
Email: natalie.foster@ucla.edu

***Corresponding Author:** natalie.foster@ucla.edu

Citation: Aziz (2026). Air Pollution Exposure, Pediatric Asthma Control, and Urban Health Governance: A Comparative Environmental Health Analysis of Los Angeles and London (Book Antiqua 14pt Bold). *Medical and Health Studies*, *10*(4), xx–xx. https://doi.org/0000-0000

Published: 12/05/2026

ABSTRACT

Pediatric asthma remains one of the most important chronic respiratory conditions affected by urban environmental exposures, healthcare access, housing quality, and public health governance. This study examines how ambient air pollution influences asthma control among children through a comparative environmental health analysis of Los Angeles and London. The article argues that pediatric asthma outcomes are shaped not only by clinical treatment but also by transport emissions, neighborhood deprivation, indoor–outdoor exposure interactions, school environments, primary care continuity, and urban air-quality policy. Using comparative public health analysis, epidemiological synthesis, environmental exposure interpretation, and health systems evaluation, the study compares two global cities with extensive air-quality monitoring systems but different regulatory histories, urban morphologies, and healthcare access structures. The findings indicate that nitrogen dioxide, fine particulate matter, ozone, and traffic-related air pollution contribute to airway inflammation, exacerbation risk, medication use, emergency visits, and reduced lung function among susceptible children. London demonstrates stronger integration of low-emission transport policy and national healthcare access, whereas Los Angeles demonstrates advanced environmental monitoring and regulatory innovation but persistent exposure inequities linked to freeway proximity, port activity, and socioeconomic segregation. This article contributes to medical and health sciences scholarship by integrating environmental epidemiology, pediatric respiratory medicine, health equity, healthcare governance, and urban policy into a

comparative framework for asthma prevention.

Keywords: pediatric asthma; air pollution; environmental health; urban health governance; Los Angeles; London; health equity; respiratory medicine; traffic emissions; public health policy

INTRODUCTION

Asthma is one of the most prevalent chronic diseases among children and remains a major cause of school absenteeism, emergency department utilization, impaired quality of life, caregiver burden, and healthcare expenditure (WHO, 2024). Although genetic susceptibility, atopy, viral infections, and indoor allergens contribute to asthma pathogenesis, environmental exposures are central determinants of asthma onset, exacerbation, and control. Urban air pollution is particularly important because children inhale more air per unit body weight than adults, spend substantial time outdoors and at school, and have developing lungs and immune systems that are vulnerable to inflammatory injury (Gauderman et al., 2015; Khreis et al., 2017).

Global environmental health evidence indicates that ambient air pollution contributes substantially to respiratory morbidity and premature mortality. The World Health Organization identifies fine particulate matter, nitrogen dioxide, ozone, and other combustion-related pollutants as major threats to population health (WHO, 2021). The Global Burden of Disease literature consistently identifies air pollution as a leading environmental risk factor, particularly in urban and industrialized regions (GBD 2021 Risk Factors Collaborators, 2024). Pediatric asthma is especially sensitive to traffic-related pollutants because nitrogen oxides, ultrafine particles, black carbon, and secondary aerosols can promote airway inflammation, oxidative stress, epithelial injury, and immune dysregulation (Guarnieri & Balmes, 2014).

Los Angeles and London provide analytically valuable comparative cases. Los Angeles has a long history of photochemical smog, vehicle emissions, port-related diesel pollution, freeway-adjacent exposures, and regulatory innovation through California air-quality governance. London has historically experienced severe air pollution from coal combustion and now faces major challenges from traffic-related nitrogen dioxide and particulate matter, but has implemented low-emission zones, congestion charging, and ultra-low-emission transport policy. Both cities have high-quality environmental monitoring infrastructures, strong research institutions, and large pediatric populations, yet they differ in urban form, healthcare access, transport governance, and social inequality patterns.

The healthcare problem is clinically and institutionally significant. Pediatric asthma management typically emphasizes inhaled corticosteroids, bronchodilator access, asthma action plans, trigger avoidance, and primary care follow-up. However, children cannot individually control ambient air pollution exposure. Therefore, asthma control requires coordination between clinical medicine, public health surveillance, housing policy, school health systems, transportation planning, and environmental regulation. This makes pediatric asthma an exemplary condition for studying the interaction between medical treatment and

structural environmental determinants.

Existing literature provides strong evidence linking air pollution and pediatric respiratory outcomes. Gauderman et al. (2015) demonstrated that improvements in air quality in Southern California were associated with measurable improvements in children's lung function growth. Khreis et al. (2017) estimated that traffic-related air pollution contributes meaningfully to childhood asthma burden. Anenberg et al. (2022) highlighted the substantial global pediatric asthma burden attributable to nitrogen dioxide exposure. Other researchers show that particulate matter and ozone contribute to asthma exacerbations, emergency visits, and medication use (Orellano et al., 2017; Zheng et al., 2021).

Environmental justice literature further demonstrates that air pollution exposure is not evenly distributed. Children living near freeways, industrial zones, ports, dense traffic corridors, or poor-quality housing often experience higher pollution burdens and poorer asthma outcomes (Clark et al., 2014; Tessum et al., 2021). Health equity theory indicates that environmental exposures interact with poverty, housing instability, insurance coverage, neighborhood deprivation, and healthcare access to produce unequal disease outcomes (Marmot, 2005). Therefore, pediatric asthma cannot be understood as a purely biomedical condition.

Health systems research adds another layer. Effective asthma control depends on timely diagnosis, access to controller medications, adherence support, continuity of primary care, caregiver education, and school-based asthma management (GINA, 2024). In the United States, healthcare access is shaped by insurance coverage, provider availability, and socioeconomic barriers. In the United Kingdom, the National Health Service provides universal healthcare access, but primary care capacity, housing inequality, and urban deprivation still influence asthma outcomes (NHS England, 2023). Comparing Los Angeles and London therefore allows analysis of how healthcare governance and urban environmental policy interact.

Despite strong evidence, several gaps remain. First, current medical literature often examines pollution exposure and asthma outcomes without fully integrating healthcare system differences. Second, environmental health studies frequently quantify exposure–response associations but underanalyze clinical management pathways. Third, comparative urban health research remains limited in explaining how transport policy, healthcare access, and neighborhood inequality jointly shape pediatric asthma outcomes. Fourth, implementation research often fails to connect air-quality regulation with measurable clinical care indicators such as asthma control, emergency visits, and medication adherence. Fifth, public health equity analysis remains insufficiently incorporated into pediatric respiratory prevention strategies.

The novelty of this article lies in its interdisciplinary integration of pediatric respiratory medicine, environmental epidemiology, urban health governance, healthcare systems analysis, and health equity. Rather than treating air pollution as an external exposure variable only, this article conceptualizes pediatric asthma control as a multilevel process linking environmental regulation, healthcare accessibility, caregiver behavior, school health systems, and clinical outcomes.

The analytical framework follows the causal pathway: urban emissions and environmental governance → child-level pollution exposure → airway inflammation and asthma exacerbation risk → healthcare utilization and disease control → population respiratory health equity. This pathway is moderated by housing quality, socioeconomic status, primary care access, school health support, and public policy implementation.

This study aims to analyze comparatively how air pollution exposure and urban health governance influence pediatric asthma control, healthcare utilization, and respiratory health equity in Los Angeles and London.

METHODOLOGY

This study employs a comparative environmental health research design integrating epidemiological synthesis, pediatric respiratory medicine, health systems analysis, and urban policy evaluation to examine the relationship between air pollution exposure and pediatric asthma control in Los Angeles and London. These cities were selected because both are global metropolitan centers with extensive air-quality monitoring systems, substantial pediatric populations, historically significant pollution burdens, and distinct institutional approaches to environmental regulation and healthcare access. Los Angeles represents a car-dependent metropolitan region shaped by freeway exposure, port activity, ozone chemistry, California regulatory innovation, and a mixed public–private healthcare access environment. London represents a dense European metropolis shaped by traffic-related nitrogen dioxide exposure, national healthcare coverage, low-emission transport policy, and neighborhood deprivation gradients. The unit of analysis consists of urban pediatric asthma systems, including ambient pollution exposure, clinical asthma management, healthcare access, school and household environments, and policy-mediated prevention pathways. Analytical variables include nitrogen dioxide, PM2.5, ozone, traffic proximity, asthma exacerbations, emergency department visits, inhaled corticosteroid access, primary care continuity, school-based asthma support, household deprivation, environmental justice indicators, and urban air-quality governance.

The empirical foundation consists of peer-reviewed environmental epidemiology studies, WHO air-quality guidance, CDC and UK public health asthma evidence, GINA clinical recommendations, OECD and World Bank urban health reports, municipal air-quality documents, and comparative healthcare literature. No patient-level identifiable records, interviews, or fabricated clinical observations were used. The analysis applied structured comparative synthesis, linking exposure evidence with biological mechanisms, healthcare access pathways, and policy implementation contexts. Triangulation was conducted by comparing epidemiological studies, clinical guidelines, and institutional policy reports. Validation was strengthened by prioritizing consistent evidence from cohort studies, systematic reviews, exposure modeling, and public health surveillance. Ethical considerations include avoidance of individual-level clinical claims, attention to children as a vulnerable population, and explicit focus on health equity and environmental justice. The study is limited by heterogeneity in monitoring methods, asthma outcome definitions, healthcare utilization datasets, and exposure assignment approaches, but it provides analytically transferable insight into pediatric asthma prevention in high-income urban settings.

Findings and Discussion

1. Air Pollution Exposure and Pediatric Respiratory Mechanisms

The comparative evidence demonstrates that air pollution contributes to pediatric asthma through well-established biological mechanisms. Nitrogen dioxide, particulate matter, ozone, and traffic-related ultrafine particles induce oxidative stress, airway epithelial injury, inflammatory cytokine activation, bronchial hyperresponsiveness, and impaired lung development (Guarnieri & Balmes, 2014; Khreis et al., 2017). Children with asthma are especially vulnerable because inflamed airways respond strongly to environmental triggers, increasing symptoms, rescue medication use, and exacerbation risk.

Los Angeles has historically experienced high ozone exposure due to sunlight-driven photochemical reactions involving nitrogen oxides and volatile organic compounds. Its sprawling transport geography, freeway networks, ports, and goods movement corridors contribute to spatially uneven exposure. Children living near freeways or diesel corridors may experience higher traffic-related pollutant burdens. Although air quality has improved substantially over several decades, ozone and particulate pollution remain persistent challenges.

London's air pollution profile is strongly shaped by traffic-related nitrogen dioxide and particulate matter. Dense road networks, bus corridors, and urban canyon effects can produce elevated exposure near heavily trafficked streets. Policy interventions such as congestion charging, low-emission zones, and the Ultra Low Emission Zone have targeted transport emissions. However, neighborhood-level exposure disparities remain, particularly in deprived urban areas.

The comparison shows that Los Angeles and London share traffic-related asthma risks but differ in dominant pollution chemistry. Los Angeles faces stronger ozone formation dynamics, while London has historically focused heavily on nitrogen dioxide reduction. This matters clinically because ozone and nitrogen dioxide may influence asthma through overlapping but distinct inflammatory pathways.

These findings align with previous evidence linking traffic-related pollution to childhood asthma incidence and exacerbation (Khreis et al., 2017; Anenberg et al., 2022). They extend existing scholarship by emphasizing that clinical asthma prevention must consider local pollutant mixtures rather than generic "air pollution" categories.

Public health implications include the need for pollutant-specific exposure reduction strategies, including traffic emission control, clean school zones, indoor filtration in high-risk areas, and targeted warnings during high ozone or particulate events.

2. Healthcare Access, Asthma Control, and Clinical Management Pathways

Pediatric asthma control depends on both environmental exposure reduction and high-quality clinical

management. Clinical guidelines emphasize accurate diagnosis, inhaled corticosteroid use for persistent asthma, reliever medication access, inhaler technique education, written asthma action plans, and regular follow-up (GINA, 2024). However, care pathways differ substantially between Los Angeles and London.

In Los Angeles, healthcare access is shaped by insurance status, provider networks, socioeconomic position, immigration-related concerns, and neighborhood healthcare availability. Children from low-income families may face barriers to consistent primary care, specialist referral, medication affordability, and asthma education. Safety-net clinics and public programs play critical roles, but care fragmentation remains a challenge.

In London, the NHS provides universal access to primary care and asthma treatment, reducing financial barriers to clinical care. However, universal coverage does not eliminate disparities. Primary care workload, housing quality, caregiver health literacy, and neighborhood deprivation still influence asthma control. Emergency care may remain high among children whose asthma is not well controlled through routine management.

The comparative evidence indicates that universal healthcare access improves the baseline possibility of asthma management, but environmental exposure and social determinants remain decisive. Conversely, strong environmental regulation in Los Angeles cannot fully protect children if healthcare access and medication continuity are uneven.

This finding supports health systems theory emphasizing that service availability must translate into effective coverage and quality care (Kruk et al., 2018). It also aligns with asthma management literature showing that adherence, education, and follow-up are essential determinants of outcomes (GINA, 2024).

Clinical implications include integrating environmental exposure screening into asthma care. Clinicians should ask about traffic proximity, housing conditions, indoor pollutants, school exposure, and caregiver ability to implement asthma action plans. Public health systems should connect asthma care with environmental risk mapping.

---

3. Comparative Matrix of Healthcare Governance, Clinical Intervention, and Health Outcomes

Table 1. Comparative Matrix of Healthcare Governance, Clinical Intervention, and Health Outcomes

Variable	Case 1: Los Angeles	Case 2: London	Empirical Evidence	Analytical Interpretation
Dominant exposure profile	Ozone, PM2.5, diesel emissions, freeway and port-related pollution	Traffic-related nitrogen dioxide, PM2.5, urban street canyon exposure	WHO air-quality evidence; city monitoring studies	Pollutant mixtures differ by urban morphology and transport systems
Healthcare governance	Mixed public–private	Universal NHS access	OECD and national health	Insurance and access structures

	healthcare access with safety-net systems	with primary care gatekeeping	system evidence	shape clinical continuity
Asthma management pathway	Variable primary care continuity and medication affordability	Universal access but constrained by workload and deprivation	GINA and health system literature	Coverage does not guarantee control without quality and follow-up
Environmental policy strategy	California air regulation, vehicle standards, port and freight interventions	Congestion charging, low-emission zones, ULEZ, transport policy	Municipal and national air-quality policies	Urban governance mediates exposure reduction
Equity concern	Freeway proximity, socioeconomic segregation, port-adjacent communities	Deprivation gradients, housing quality, traffic corridors	Environmental justice studies	Exposure and healthcare inequality interact
Clinical outcome pathway	Pollution exposure increases exacerbations and emergency visits	Traffic pollution contributes to symptoms and healthcare utilization	Pediatric asthma epidemiology	Environmental control is part of clinical prevention
School environment role	Schools near traffic corridors may increase exposure	School streets and clean air zones reduce exposure potential	Public health and urban policy literature	School-based interventions can reduce child exposure
Population health implication	Need integrated asthma care and environmental justice policy	Need stronger linkage between air policy, housing, and asthma care	WHO and public health evidence	Pediatric respiratory health requires cross-sector governance

The table demonstrates that pediatric asthma control is shaped by interaction between environmental exposure and healthcare governance. Los Angeles shows how advanced environmental regulation can coexist with persistent exposure inequities and healthcare access barriers. London shows how universal healthcare can coexist

with traffic exposure and neighborhood deprivation.

Analytically, this means asthma prevention cannot be reduced to either medical treatment or air-quality regulation. Children require both clean air and effective clinical management. A child exposed to traffic pollution may still maintain control if medication, follow-up, and action plans are strong, but exposure reduction remains necessary to reduce exacerbation triggers. Conversely, improved air quality may reduce population risk but cannot replace clinical care for children with established asthma.

This interpretation extends previous environmental health literature by linking exposure pathways with clinical governance. It also supports social determinants theory by showing that asthma inequity arises from layered risks: pollution exposure, housing quality, healthcare access, income, and neighborhood infrastructure.

Clinical and public health implications include combined interventions: pediatric asthma registries, school-based inhaler support, traffic emission reduction, indoor air filtration, caregiver education, and targeted environmental enforcement in high-risk neighborhoods.

---

4. Environmental Justice, Housing, and School-Based Asthma Prevention

Environmental justice is central to pediatric asthma prevention because pollution exposure is socially patterned. Children in disadvantaged neighborhoods are more likely to live near busy roads, industrial zones, poor-quality housing, or areas with limited green space. These exposures interact with indoor allergens, mold, tobacco smoke, crowding, and psychosocial stress.

In Los Angeles, freeway-adjacent communities and neighborhoods near goods movement corridors often experience elevated diesel and particulate exposure. These communities frequently overlap with lower-income and racialized populations, creating cumulative disadvantage. Pediatric asthma control is therefore shaped by environmental racism, housing inequality, and healthcare access barriers.

In London, deprivation gradients influence both exposure and vulnerability. Children in low-income households may experience poorer housing quality, dampness, mold, and higher traffic exposure. Although healthcare is universally available, social conditions influence whether families can attend appointments, manage medications, reduce indoor triggers, and respond to symptoms promptly.

School environments are especially important because children spend substantial time in educational settings. Schools located near major roads may expose children to high pollutant concentrations during commuting and outdoor activity. Interventions such as clean school zones, anti-idling enforcement, green barriers, indoor filtration, and school-based asthma programs can reduce risk.

These findings align with Marmot's social determinants framework and environmental justice research showing that health risks accumulate across social and physical environments (Marmot, 2005; Tessum et al., 2021). They extend pediatric asthma scholarship by emphasizing that clinical control depends on structural conditions

outside the clinic.

Public health implications include prioritizing asthma prevention in high-exposure schools and neighborhoods, linking air-quality data with health surveillance, and ensuring that urban planning decisions account for child respiratory vulnerability.

---

5. Urban Health Governance and Population-Level Respiratory Resilience

Population respiratory resilience refers to the capacity of urban systems to prevent exposure, detect disease, provide clinical management, and reduce inequitable health impacts. Los Angeles and London demonstrate that respiratory resilience requires cross-sector governance among health departments, environmental agencies, transport authorities, schools, housing agencies, and clinical providers.

Los Angeles has advanced environmental regulatory capacity and scientific monitoring, but fragmented healthcare access complicates clinical continuity. London has universal healthcare and strong transport policy tools, but persistent deprivation and housing problems continue to affect asthma outcomes. Both cities therefore demonstrate partial but incomplete resilience.

Effective governance requires integrating environmental data with healthcare data while protecting privacy. Air-quality monitoring can identify exposure hotspots; asthma surveillance can identify clinical burden; school and housing data can identify intervention sites. Integrated governance can support targeted prevention rather than generalized citywide policy alone.

The findings support WHO recommendations that air pollution reduction is a major public health priority and that health sectors should work with urban planning, energy, and transport sectors (WHO, 2021). They also align with modern healthcare quality frameworks emphasizing outcomes, equity, and prevention rather than service volume alone.

The broader implication is that pediatric asthma should be treated as a sentinel indicator of urban environmental health. High asthma burden signals failures in air-quality control, housing quality, healthcare access, and child-centered urban planning.

Clinical/Public Health Propositions

Proposition 1: Urban air pollution exposure increases pediatric asthma morbidity through inflammatory and oxidative respiratory pathways.

Traffic-related pollutants, ozone, nitrogen dioxide, and particulate matter contribute to airway inflammation, exacerbations, and impaired lung function.

Proposition 2: Healthcare access mediates the relationship between asthma diagnosis and clinical control.

Children achieve better outcomes when primary care continuity, medication access, inhaler education, and asthma action plans are available.

Proposition 3: Environmental justice conditions moderate pediatric asthma outcomes.

Pollution exposure, housing quality, socioeconomic deprivation, and neighborhood infrastructure influence both disease risk and management capacity.

Proposition 4: Urban respiratory resilience requires integration of air-quality policy, clinical care, school health, and housing governance.

Asthma prevention is strongest when environmental regulation and healthcare systems operate together.

CONCLUSION

This study analyzed how air pollution exposure and urban health governance influence pediatric asthma control, healthcare utilization, and respiratory health equity in Los Angeles and London. The comparative evidence demonstrates that pediatric asthma is shaped by the interaction between environmental exposure, clinical management, social determinants, and institutional governance.

Los Angeles illustrates the significance of freeway-related exposure, ozone chemistry, port emissions, and healthcare access fragmentation. London illustrates the importance of traffic-related nitrogen dioxide, low-emission transport policy, universal healthcare access, and deprivation-linked vulnerability. Both cities demonstrate that air-quality improvements and clinical care must be coordinated to reduce pediatric asthma burden.

The theoretical contribution of this article lies in integrating environmental epidemiology, pediatric respiratory medicine, health systems analysis, and health equity into a unified framework. The empirical contribution lies in synthesizing evidence from air-quality science, asthma guidelines, and comparative urban health governance to explain why asthma outcomes vary across cities with different institutions and exposures.

Clinically, pediatric asthma care should include environmental exposure assessment, medication continuity, inhaler technique support, and written action plans. Public health policy should prioritize clean air around schools, traffic emission reduction, indoor air quality improvement, and targeted interventions in high-burden neighborhoods.

The study is limited by reliance on secondary evidence and by differences in exposure measurement and healthcare utilization data. Future research should integrate high-resolution exposure modeling, pediatric asthma registries, school-level environmental monitoring, and longitudinal clinical outcomes.

Ultimately, this article argues that improving pediatric asthma control requires treating clean air as part of healthcare. Respiratory health equity depends on the capacity of cities to integrate clinical medicine,

environmental regulation, housing policy, and child-centered urban planning.

REFERENCES

Anenberg, S. C., Mohegh, A., Goldberg, D. L., Kerr, G. H., Brauer, M., Burkart, K., Hystad, P., Larkin, A., Wozniak, S., & Lamsal, L. (2022). Long-term trends in urban NO_2 concentrations and associated pediatric asthma incidence. The Lancet Planetary Health, 6(1), e49–e58.

Clark, L. P., Millet, D. B., & Marshall, J. D. (2014). National patterns in environmental injustice and inequality. Environmental Science & Technology, 48(17), 9937–9944.

CDC. (2024). Most recent national asthma data. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Gauderman, W. J., Urman, R., Avol, E., Berhane, K., McConnell, R., Rappaport, E., Chang, R., Lurmann, F., & Gilliland, F. (2015). Association of improved air quality with lung development in children. New England Journal of Medicine, 372(10), 905–913.

GBD 2021 Risk Factors Collaborators. (2024). Global burden and strength of evidence for 88 risk factors. The Lancet, 403(10440), 2162–2203.

GINA. (2024). Global strategy for asthma management and prevention. Global Initiative for Asthma.

Guarnieri, M., & Balmes, J. R. (2014). Outdoor air pollution and asthma. The Lancet, 383(9928), 1581–1592.

Khreis, H., Kelly, C., Tate, J., Parslow, R., Lucas, K., & Nieuwenhuijsen, M. (2017). Exposure to traffic-related air pollution and risk of development of childhood asthma. Environment International, 100, 1–31.

Kruk, M. E., Gage, A. D., Arsenault, C., Jordan, K., Leslie, H. H., Roder-DeWan, S., Adeyi, O., Barker, P., Daelmans, B., Doubova, S. V., English, M., García-Elorrio, E., Guanais, F., Gureje, O., Hirschhorn, L. R., Jiang, L., Kelley, E., Lemango, E. T., Liljestrand, J., ... Pate, M. (2018). High-quality health systems in the Sustainable Development Goals era. The Lancet Global Health, 6(11), e1196–e1252.

Marmot, M. (2005). Social determinants of health inequalities. The Lancet, 365(9464), 1099–1104.

NHS England. (2023). National bundle of care for children and young people with asthma. NHS England.

Orellano, P., Quaranta, N., Reynoso, J., Balbi, B., & Vasquez, J. (2017). Effect of outdoor air pollution on asthma exacerbations in children and adults. PLOS ONE, 12(3), e0174050.

OECD. (2023). Health at a glance 2023: OECD indicators. OECD Publishing.

Public Health England. (2020). Health matters: Air pollution. Public Health England.

Tessum, C. W., Paolella, D. A., Chambliss, S. E., Apte, J. S., Hill, J. D., & Marshall, J. D. (2021). PM2.5 polluters disproportionately and systemically affect people of color in the United States. Science Advances, 7(18), eabf4491.

WHO. (2021). WHO global air quality guidelines. World Health Organization.

WHO. (2024). Asthma fact sheet and global respiratory health update. World Health Organization.

World Bank. (2023). Healthy cities and air pollution governance. World Bank.

Zheng, X. Y., Ding, H., Jiang, L. N., Chen, S. W., Zheng, J. P., Qiu, M., Zhou, Y. X., Chen, Q., & Guan, W. J. (2021).

Association between air pollutants and asthma emergency room visits and hospital admissions. Environmental Research, 195, 110839.