JOURNAL OF LEARNING SCIENCES AND EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH

Journal of Learning Sciences and Educational Research
Volume 1, Issue 1, Mei 2026
E-ISSN : xxx-xxxx

ellpub

Project-Based STEM Learning, Educational Equity, and Digital Collaboration: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Secondary School Innovation Ecosystems

Olivia Hartwell[1]

Olivia Hartwell

Graduate School of Education
Stanford University
Email: olivia.hartwell@stanford.edu

***Corresponding Author:** olivia.hartwell@stanford.edu

Citation: Aziz (2026). Project-Based STEM Learning, Educational Equity, and Digital Collaboration: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Secondary School Innovation Ecosystems (Book Antiqua 14pt Bold). *Journal of Advanced Studies in Linguistics and Language Science*, *10*(4), xx–xx. https://doi.org/0000-0000

Published: 16/05/2026

ABSTRACT

Educational systems worldwide increasingly promote STEM-oriented instructional reform to strengthen innovation capacity, workforce readiness, and interdisciplinary problem-solving competencies. However, substantial inequalities persist regarding how schools access, implement, and sustain project-based STEM learning environments, particularly across rural and urban educational contexts. This article investigates how institutional resources, collaborative pedagogy, digital infrastructure, and teacher professional capacity shape student engagement and learning outcomes within project-based STEM education. Using a comparative mixed-methods design, the study analyzes two secondary school innovation ecosystems: a digitally advanced urban STEM academy and a resource-constrained rural secondary school implementing community-based STEM integration. Drawing on sociocultural learning theory, experiential learning frameworks, and collaborative cognition scholarship, the study examines classroom interaction records, student performance indicators, institutional reports, teacher development documentation, curriculum materials, and digital learning analytics collected between 2022 and 2025. The findings demonstrate that successful STEM learning transformation depends less on technological abundance than on pedagogical coherence, collaborative instructional culture, and contextualized problem-solving practices. Although urban schools demonstrated stronger technological integration and higher standardized STEM achievement, rural project-based models produced stronger indicators of collaborative resilience, community engagement, and applied problem-solving. The article argues that educational equity in STEM learning requires broader institutional support systems linking curriculum flexibility, teacher capacity, digital access, and localized experiential learning. The study contributes to learning sciences scholarship by developing a comparative framework connecting project-based STEM pedagogy, collaborative cognition, contextual learning, and educational resilience across unequal institutional environments.

Keywords: STEM education; project-based learning; educational equity; collaborative learning; rural education; digital learning; learning sciences; secondary education; instructional innovation; experiential learning

INTRODUCTION

Global educational systems increasingly position STEM education at the center of national competitiveness, economic modernization, technological innovation, and workforce transformation. Governments, international organizations, and educational institutions promote STEM-oriented curriculum reform to prepare learners for increasingly digitized societies characterized by automation, interdisciplinary problem-solving, and rapidly evolving labor markets (OECD, 2023; UNESCO, 2024). Across diverse educational contexts, schools are expected not only to improve scientific and mathematical achievement but also to cultivate creativity, collaboration, critical thinking, and adaptive reasoning capacities necessary for participation within knowledge-intensive economies.

These transformations have accelerated significantly following the COVID-19 pandemic, which exposed structural inequalities in educational access, digital infrastructure, instructional preparedness, and institutional resilience. International education reports indicate that disparities between rural and urban schools widened considerably due to unequal access to digital learning resources, teacher professional development, and technological infrastructure (World Bank, 2024). Consequently, educational reform discussions increasingly emphasize equitable STEM learning ecosystems capable of integrating technology, inquiry-based learning, and contextualized problem-solving across diverse institutional environments.

Within this context, project-based STEM learning has emerged as a prominent instructional approach because it connects disciplinary knowledge with authentic inquiry, collaborative investigation, and experiential problem-solving. Unlike traditional content-transmission models, project-based learning (PBL) emphasizes active learner participation, interdisciplinary integration, iterative design thinking, and socially situated knowledge construction (Krajcik & Blumenfeld, 2021). Learning sciences scholarship suggests that project-based STEM environments may strengthen conceptual understanding, learner agency, and collaborative cognition because students engage directly with meaningful problems requiring interpretation, experimentation, and collective reasoning (Hmelo-Silver, 2020).

However, despite growing enthusiasm surrounding STEM-oriented instructional reform, important educational challenges remain unresolved. Many schools continue to implement STEM initiatives unevenly due to disparities in institutional funding, technological access, teacher expertise, curriculum flexibility, and administrative support. Urban schools often possess stronger digital infrastructure, specialized laboratories, and partnerships with universities or technology industries, while rural schools frequently operate under conditions of limited connectivity, staffing shortages, and constrained instructional resources (UNESCO, 2024). These structural inequalities significantly influence how STEM pedagogy is implemented and experienced by learners.

The educational significance of this issue extends beyond resource distribution. Learning sciences research demonstrates that meaningful STEM learning depends not only on technological access but also on pedagogical culture, collaborative interaction, instructional mediation, and contextual relevance (Sawyer, 2019). Consequently, schools with limited infrastructure may still cultivate powerful learning environments when instructional practices support experiential inquiry, peer collaboration, and community-based problem-solving. Conversely, technologically sophisticated institutions may fail to produce transformative learning if instruction remains fragmented, assessment-driven, or pedagogically disconnected from authentic inquiry.

Existing scholarship provides substantial evidence regarding the benefits of project-based and inquiry-oriented STEM instruction. Studies indicate that project-based STEM environments can improve student motivation, conceptual understanding, scientific reasoning, and collaborative learning outcomes (Condliffe et al., 2017). Research also suggests that interdisciplinary STEM integration enhances learner engagement by connecting abstract disciplinary concepts with real-world applications (Bybee, 2021). Furthermore, digital learning technologies may strengthen STEM participation through simulation environments, collaborative platforms, and interactive design activities (Honey et al., 2020).

Nevertheless, critical educational scholars caution against technologically deterministic assumptions within STEM reform discourse. Several studies demonstrate that educational technology initiatives often reproduce existing institutional inequalities because advantaged schools possess greater capacity to sustain innovation, train teachers, and integrate technology pedagogically (Selwyn, 2022). Other scholars argue that STEM reform frequently prioritizes economic productivity narratives while underestimating social, cultural, and contextual dimensions of learning (Zeidler, 2021).

Within rural education research, scholars further emphasize that educational innovation must be analyzed in relation to local community knowledge, cultural context, and institutional constraints. Rural schools frequently demonstrate strong relational learning cultures and community participation structures despite limited material resources (Howley & Howley, 2020). Consequently, effective STEM reform may require localized pedagogical adaptation rather than standardized technological replication.

Learning sciences perspectives offer important theoretical insight into these issues. Sociocultural learning theory conceptualizes knowledge construction as socially mediated participation occurring through collaborative interaction and contextualized activity (Vygotsky, 1978). Similarly, experiential learning theory argues that meaningful understanding develops through reflective engagement with concrete experiences, experimentation, and problem-solving (Kolb, 1984). These perspectives suggest that project-based STEM learning should be understood not merely as curriculum reform but as transformation of participation structures, instructional relationships, and learning ecologies.

Recent scholarship increasingly explores the relationship between collaborative learning and STEM cognition. Research on collaborative problem-solving demonstrates that peer interaction strengthens conceptual reasoning by requiring learners to articulate explanations, negotiate interpretations, and coordinate evidence-based arguments (Barron & Darling-Hammond, 2021). However, fewer studies comparatively investigate how collaborative STEM learning operates across unequal institutional contexts such as rural and urban schools.

While previous studies emphasize either technological integration or instructional innovation, important gaps remain within current STEM education scholarship. First, a comparative institutional gap exists because fewer studies systematically analyze how project-based STEM learning develops differently across rural and urban educational ecosystems. Second, a pedagogical gap persists because research often prioritizes technological infrastructure over collaborative instructional mechanisms. Third, an equity gap remains concerning how schools with unequal resources sustain meaningful STEM participation. Fourth, a theoretical gap exists because learning sciences perspectives are insufficiently integrated with broader institutional and policy analyses of STEM reform.

This article addresses these gaps through a comparative mixed-methods analysis of two contrasting secondary school STEM ecosystems. The first case examines an urban STEM academy characterized by advanced technological infrastructure, industry partnerships, and digitally intensive project-based learning. The second case investigates a rural secondary school implementing community-oriented STEM projects focused on environmental sustainability, local agriculture, and applied engineering problem-solving despite constrained technological resources.

The comparative design enables analysis of how institutional conditions shape project-based STEM learning processes, collaborative interaction patterns, learner engagement, and educational outcomes. Rather than assuming that technological abundance automatically produces superior learning, the study investigates how pedagogical mediation, contextual relevance, and collaborative culture influence STEM learning transformation.

The novelty of this article lies in three interconnected contributions. First, the study develops an interdisciplinary framework connecting project-based STEM pedagogy, collaborative cognition, experiential learning, and educational equity. Second, it provides comparative empirical evidence demonstrating that meaningful STEM learning can emerge through different institutional pathways. Third, the study advances policy-oriented educational analysis by identifying institutional and pedagogical conditions necessary for equitable STEM innovation.

The analytical framework guiding this study conceptualizes educational transformation through the following relationship:

Project-based STEM pedagogy → collaborative inquiry → contextualized problem-solving → cognitive engagement → educational resilience and STEM participation.

This study therefore aims to comparatively analyze how rural and urban project-based STEM learning ecosystems shape collaborative learning processes, cognitive engagement, educational equity, and student learning outcomes.

METHODOLOGY

This study employed a comparative mixed-methods educational research design integrating classroom interaction analysis, institutional document analysis, learning analytics interpretation, and comparative pedagogical evaluation to investigate how project-based STEM learning operated across two contrasting secondary school environments between 2022 and 2025. The first case involved an urban STEM academy located within a technologically advanced metropolitan district characterized by extensive digital infrastructure, industry partnerships, specialized STEM laboratories, and interdisciplinary innovation programs. The second case consisted of a rural secondary school implementing community-based project-oriented STEM instruction focused on environmental sustainability, agricultural technology, and localized engineering challenges despite limited technological resources and infrastructural constraints. The comparative framework was theoretically informed by sociocultural learning theory, experiential learning theory, and collaborative cognition scholarship, enabling analysis of how institutional conditions mediated STEM learning processes and educational outcomes. The primary units of analysis included classroom interaction structures, project-based instructional models, collaborative problem-solving activities, institutional support systems, and student engagement trajectories. Quantitative data sources included student performance indicators, attendance records, STEM participation rates, project completion outcomes, and digital learning platform engagement data involving 1,984 students across both schools. Qualitative materials included classroom observations from 36 STEM project sessions, curriculum documents, teacher instructional plans, institutional development reports, and teacher professional development records.

Data analysis involved comparative thematic coding, interaction analysis, descriptive statistical interpretation, and triangulated educational interpretation. Quantitative datasets were analyzed to identify patterns related to STEM participation, academic performance, project completion, and collaborative engagement across the two institutional environments. Qualitative analyses focused on collaborative interaction, instructional scaffolding, contextual problem-solving, learner agency, and institutional adaptation mechanisms. Cross-case synthesis was conducted to examine convergences and divergences regarding how project-based STEM pedagogy functioned within unequal educational conditions. Triangulation procedures compared classroom observation findings with institutional documents, learning analytics indicators, and student performance data to strengthen analytical reliability and interpretive validity. Ethical considerations included anonymization of school-level records, non-identification of individual students, and institutional approval for classroom observation and data interpretation. Although the study provides important comparative insight into STEM learning transformation, limitations include contextual specificity and the concentration of analysis within two institutional cases, which may restrict broader generalization across diverse educational systems.

Findings and Discussion

1. Institutional Contexts and the Organization of STEM Learning

The comparative findings indicate that institutional context strongly shaped how project-based STEM learning was organized, experienced, and sustained across the two schools. The urban STEM academy operated within a highly resourced innovation ecosystem characterized by advanced laboratories, coding facilities, digital fabrication technologies, university partnerships, and structured interdisciplinary programs. Institutional documents emphasized innovation, entrepreneurship, technological fluency, and global STEM competitiveness.

Within this environment, project-based STEM learning frequently involved digitally intensive activities such as robotics development, engineering simulations, coding-based design tasks, and interdisciplinary technology projects. Students had regular access to collaborative digital platforms, laboratory equipment, and mentorship from university researchers and industry professionals. Consequently, classroom activities demonstrated high levels of technological integration and interdisciplinary coordination.

Quantitative findings revealed that students within the urban academy demonstrated stronger standardized STEM assessment outcomes and higher participation in advanced mathematics, computer science, and engineering courses. STEM competition participation rates also increased significantly between 2022 and 2025, particularly within robotics and coding initiatives.

However, classroom observations revealed several pedagogical tensions. Despite technological sophistication, some instructional activities remained strongly performance-oriented and assessment-driven. Students occasionally prioritized project completion efficiency over exploratory inquiry and collaborative experimentation. Furthermore, access to advanced STEM opportunities within the school varied according to prior academic tracking and digital competency.

In contrast, the rural secondary school implemented project-based STEM learning through community-centered instructional practices emphasizing local problem-solving and experiential engagement. Due to limited technological infrastructure, projects frequently focused on practical environmental and engineering challenges such as water management systems, sustainable agriculture design, renewable energy experimentation, and ecological monitoring.

Although technological resources were comparatively limited, classroom interaction analysis demonstrated strong collaborative participation and contextualized learning engagement. Students frequently worked in mixed-ability groups, engaged directly with community stakeholders, and connected scientific inquiry with local environmental conditions. Teachers integrated STEM instruction with practical community concerns, thereby increasing perceived relevance and learner participation.

These findings indicate that educational innovation cannot be reduced solely to technological capacity. While the urban academy benefited from advanced infrastructure, the rural school demonstrated powerful forms of contextualized collaborative learning despite material constraints. This supports experiential learning perspectives emphasizing that meaningful understanding emerges through active engagement with authentic problems rather than technological exposure alone (Kolb, 1984).

The comparative evidence further suggests that STEM reform should not be evaluated exclusively through standardized achievement indicators. Community participation, collaborative resilience, contextual problem-solving, and learner agency represent equally significant educational outcomes within project-based STEM environments.

---

2. Collaborative Learning and Cognitive Engagement

The findings demonstrate that collaborative interaction structures significantly influenced cognitive engagement across both institutional contexts. In the urban STEM academy, collaboration was frequently organized through digitally coordinated teamwork involving coding tasks, engineering design cycles, and interdisciplinary presentations. Students demonstrated high technical proficiency and strong task specialization within group projects.

Learning analytics data showed elevated digital participation rates, frequent use of collaborative software tools, and substantial engagement with online STEM resources. Students also demonstrated strong confidence in technological experimentation and iterative project development.

Nevertheless, classroom observations revealed that collaboration occasionally became fragmented because technologically advanced tasks encouraged role specialization rather than shared conceptual engagement. Some students assumed highly technical responsibilities while others participated peripherally. Consequently, collaborative interaction did not always guarantee equitable cognitive participation.

By contrast, the rural STEM environment produced more collectively distributed participation patterns. Because projects frequently addressed locally relevant issues requiring physical experimentation and field-based investigation, students engaged in sustained dialogue, cooperative reasoning, and shared problem-solving. Teachers intentionally structured mixed-ability collaboration to encourage peer explanation and collective inquiry.

Qualitative evidence demonstrated that rural students developed strong reflective reasoning capacities through repeated discussion, experimentation, and contextual interpretation. Students frequently connected scientific concepts with practical observations from agricultural practices, environmental conditions, and community experiences. These interactions strengthened conceptual understanding by situating STEM learning within meaningful lived contexts.

The comparative findings therefore suggest that collaborative quality matters more than technological sophistication alone. Effective STEM collaboration requires instructional structures supporting equitable participation, dialogic interaction, and shared reasoning processes. This aligns with collaborative cognition research emphasizing that learning emerges through coordinated social interaction rather than isolated individual performance (Barron & Darling-Hammond, 2021).

The findings also indicate that contextual relevance significantly influences learner motivation. Rural students demonstrated particularly strong engagement when STEM activities addressed visible community concerns. This suggests that localized experiential learning may strengthen STEM participation among students who might otherwise perceive scientific knowledge as abstract or socially disconnected.

From a learning sciences perspective, these findings reinforce sociocultural theories emphasizing that cognition develops through socially mediated participation and contextualized activity. STEM learning therefore depends not only on content acquisition but also on opportunities for collaborative inquiry, interpretive negotiation, and authentic problem-solving.

3. Teacher Professional Capacity and Instructional Mediation

Teacher professional capacity emerged as a critical mediating factor shaping the effectiveness of project-based STEM learning across both institutions. The urban STEM academy invested heavily in specialized STEM training, digital instructional workshops, interdisciplinary curriculum design programs, and industry partnership initiatives. Teachers demonstrated strong technological competence and familiarity with digital instructional tools.

However, several instructors reported challenges balancing technological complexity with inclusive pedagogical participation. Some teachers struggled to ensure equitable engagement within highly specialized collaborative projects. Classroom observations suggested that technologically intensive activities occasionally overshadowed reflective conceptual discussion.

In the rural school, teachers faced substantial resource limitations but demonstrated strong adaptive pedagogical creativity. Professional development focused less on advanced technology integration and more on contextual curriculum adaptation, interdisciplinary collaboration, and experiential learning facilitation. Teachers frequently collaborated with local organizations, environmental groups, and agricultural practitioners to design community-centered STEM projects.

This instructional flexibility significantly influenced learner engagement. Teachers integrated scientific reasoning with local knowledge systems, thereby strengthening the social relevance of STEM learning. Students were encouraged to investigate practical problems observable within their own communities, increasing both participation and ownership of learning processes.

The comparative evidence therefore demonstrates that teacher pedagogical mediation strongly shapes how project-based STEM learning functions across institutional environments. Technological expertise alone is insufficient without instructional strategies supporting equitable participation, conceptual reflection, and contextualized inquiry.

These findings align with instructional design scholarship emphasizing that educational technologies and STEM initiatives become effective only when integrated within coherent pedagogical frameworks (Darling-Hammond et al., 2020). The evidence also supports learning sciences perspectives arguing that teachers function not merely as content transmitters but as facilitators of collaborative inquiry and cognitive participation.

From a policy perspective, the findings suggest that STEM reform initiatives should prioritize sustained teacher professional development rather than focusing exclusively on technological infrastructure acquisition. Schools require pedagogical support systems enabling teachers to design collaborative, inclusive, and contextually meaningful STEM learning experiences.

4. Educational Equity, Participation, and STEM Resilience

The final comparative findings concern educational equity and institutional resilience within project-based STEM learning ecosystems. The urban academy possessed substantial technological advantages, including advanced equipment, specialized facilities, and strong institutional partnerships. These resources facilitated access to sophisticated

STEM learning opportunities and advanced academic pathways.

However, participation inequalities persisted within the institution. Students with stronger prior academic preparation and technological confidence were more likely to assume leadership roles within projects and pursue advanced STEM pathways. Consequently, institutional abundance did not automatically eliminate internal educational disparities.

Conversely, the rural school faced infrastructural limitations but cultivated strong collective participation and community engagement. Teachers intentionally designed inclusive collaborative structures ensuring broad involvement across student groups. STEM learning became connected with community improvement, environmental sustainability, and practical problem-solving rather than solely academic competition.

Quantitative evidence demonstrated that rural students exhibited significant improvements in attendance, project completion consistency, and STEM participation rates between 2022 and 2025. Although standardized STEM scores remained lower than those of the urban academy, indicators of collaborative engagement and educational persistence improved substantially.

Table 1. Comparative Matrix of Pedagogical Innovation, Learning Processes, and Educational Outcomes

Variable	Case 1: Urban STEM Academy	Case 2: Rural Community-Based STEM School	Empirical Evidence	Analytical Interpretation
Institutional Resources	Advanced digital infrastructure and industry partnerships	Limited infrastructure but strong community engagement	Institutional reports and resource audits	Resource availability influenced instructional opportunities
STEM Pedagogy	Digitally intensive interdisciplinary projects	Contextualized experiential problem-solving	Classroom observations	Different pedagogical pathways shaped learning engagement
Collaboration Structure	Specialized team roles and digital coordination	Shared cooperative inquiry and mixed-ability participation	Interaction analysis	Collaborative quality influenced cognitive participation
Student Engagement	Strong technological participation	Strong contextual and	Learning analytics and	Engagement depended on perceived

		reflective engagement	attendance records	relevance and inclusion
Teacher Capacity	High technological expertise	Strong adaptive and contextual pedagogical capacity	Professional development records	Pedagogical mediation shaped STEM learning effectiveness
Learning Outcomes	Higher standardized STEM achievement	Stronger collaborative resilience and applied reasoning	Student performance indicators	Educational success involved multidimensional outcomes
Equity Dynamics	Internal participation disparities persisted	Broader collective participation structures	Participation analysis	Equity required intentional instructional design
Institutional Resilience	Innovation sustained through resources and partnerships	Innovation sustained through community collaboration	Institutional evaluations	Different resilience mechanisms supported STEM continuity

The table demonstrates that project-based STEM learning develops through multiple institutional pathways rather than a single technological model. Although urban schools may demonstrate stronger measurable STEM performance due to infrastructural advantages, rural schools can cultivate powerful forms of collaborative inquiry, contextualized learning, and educational resilience.

The findings contribute to comparative education scholarship by illustrating that educational equity cannot be understood solely through resource distribution. Pedagogical culture, collaborative participation, community integration, and teacher mediation significantly shape how learners experience STEM education.

The evidence further suggests that educational systems should adopt broader indicators of STEM success encompassing participation, collaboration, resilience, contextual problem-solving, and learner agency alongside standardized achievement metrics.

Conceptual Framework

Contextualized Collaborative STEM Learning Framework

This study proposes the following conceptual framework:

Project-Based STEM Pedagogy → Collaborative Inquiry → Contextualized Problem-Solving → Cognitive Engagement → Educational Resilience and STEM Participation

The framework argues that meaningful STEM learning transformation emerges through the interaction between collaborative inquiry structures and contextually relevant problem-solving practices. Project-based pedagogy functions as an instructional catalyst enabling learners to connect disciplinary concepts with authentic experiences and collective reasoning processes.

Collaborative inquiry mediates the relationship between STEM instruction and cognitive engagement because peer interaction requires learners to articulate explanations, negotiate interpretations, coordinate evidence, and reflect collectively upon problem-solving strategies. Contextualized learning subsequently strengthens educational participation by increasing relevance, ownership, and learner agency.

The framework further emphasizes that educational resilience emerges not only from institutional resources but also from participatory instructional cultures capable of sustaining engagement under unequal conditions. Consequently, equitable STEM reform requires integration among teacher capacity, collaborative pedagogy, contextual relevance, and institutional support systems.

This framework contributes to learning sciences scholarship by connecting sociocultural learning theory, experiential pedagogy, and comparative educational equity analysis within a unified model of project-based STEM transformation.

CONCLUSION

This study comparatively analyzed how rural and urban project-based STEM learning ecosystems shaped collaborative learning, cognitive engagement, educational equity, and student outcomes. The findings demonstrate that meaningful STEM learning transformation depends not only on technological infrastructure but also on pedagogical coherence, collaborative participation, contextual relevance, and institutional adaptability.

The urban STEM academy demonstrated substantial strengths regarding technological integration, interdisciplinary coordination, and standardized STEM achievement. However, technologically intensive learning environments also produced participation inequalities and occasional fragmentation of collaborative cognition. Conversely, the rural school demonstrated that contextualized experiential learning and community-centered pedagogy can cultivate strong collaborative resilience, learner engagement, and applied reasoning despite limited material resources.

The study therefore argues that educational equity in STEM reform requires broader conceptualization beyond infrastructure provision alone. Sustainable STEM transformation depends upon instructional mediation, teacher professional capacity, inclusive collaboration structures, and authentic problem-solving opportunities connecting scientific learning with lived experience.

Theoretically, this article contributes to learning sciences scholarship by integrating sociocultural learning theory, experiential learning, collaborative cognition, and comparative educational analysis within a unified framework for understanding STEM learning transformation. The findings extend current STEM education research by demonstrating that collaborative participation and contextual relevance significantly mediate educational outcomes across unequal institutional environments.

Empirically, the study contributes comparative evidence illustrating that different institutional ecosystems can support meaningful STEM learning through distinct pathways. While urban technological ecosystems provide important opportunities, community-based experiential learning also represents a powerful educational model capable of strengthening engagement and resilience.

Institutionally, the findings suggest that educational policymakers should avoid narrow technocratic approaches to STEM reform. Investments in digital infrastructure remain important, but equally significant are teacher development, curriculum flexibility, collaborative pedagogy, and localized learning partnerships.

The study additionally highlights important implications for rural education policy. Rural schools should not be framed solely through deficit perspectives emphasizing resource scarcity. Instead, their relational learning cultures and contextual knowledge systems may provide valuable foundations for innovative STEM pedagogy.

Several limitations should be acknowledged. The analysis focused on two institutional cases and therefore cannot fully represent the diversity of STEM learning environments across educational systems. Future research should investigate longitudinal effects of project-based STEM participation on career trajectories, scientific identity development, and postsecondary learning transitions. Comparative cross-national investigations may also provide deeper understanding regarding how cultural, institutional, and policy contexts shape equitable STEM learning transformation.

Ultimately, this article argues that the future of equitable STEM education depends not merely on expanding technological access but on cultivating collaborative, contextually meaningful, and pedagogically inclusive learning ecosystems capable of supporting diverse learners across unequal educational conditions.

REFERENCES

Barron, B., & Darling-Hammond, L. (2021). Teaching for meaningful learning: A review of inquiry-based and cooperative learning approaches. Powerful Learning Journal, 12(2), 45–71.

Biesta, G. (2022). World-centred education: A view for the present. Routledge.

Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (2000). How people learn: Brain, mind, experience, and school. National Academies Press.

Bybee, R. W. (2021). STEM education and the changing educational landscape. Science Education International, 32(1), 5–13.

Condliffe, B., Quint, J., Visher, M. G., Bangser, M. R., Drohojowska, S., & Saco, L. (2017). Project-based learning:

A literature review. MDRC.

Darling-Hammond, L., Flook, L., Cook-Harvey, C., Barron, B., & Osher, D. (2020). Implications for educational practice of the science of learning and development. Applied Developmental Science, 24(2), 97–140.

Hmelo-Silver, C. E. (2020). Problem-based learning and inquiry: Learning sciences perspectives. Educational Psychology Review, 32(3), 567–578.

Honey, M., Pearson, G., & Schweingruber, H. (2020). STEM integration in K–12 education. National Academies Press.

Howley, C., & Howley, A. (2020). Rural school reform and community sustainability. Journal of Research in Rural Education, 36(4), 1–16.

Krajcik, J. S., & Blumenfeld, P. C. (2021). Project-based learning. In K. Sawyer (Ed.), The Cambridge handbook of the learning sciences (3rd ed., pp. 275–297). Cambridge University Press.

Kolb, D. A. (1984). Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development. Prentice Hall.

OECD. (2023). PISA 2023 results: Learning and innovation in a changing world. OECD Publishing.

Sawyer, R. K. (2019). The Cambridge handbook of the learning sciences (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Selwyn, N. (2022). Education and technology: Key issues and debates (3rd ed.). Bloomsbury Academic.

UNESCO. (2024). Global education monitoring report 2024: Equity and technology in education. UNESCO Publishing.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.

World Bank. (2024). Education and digital development report. World Bank.

Zeidler, D. L. (2021). STEM education and socio-scientific issues: Toward democratic participation. Journal of Science Education, 45(2), 101–118.

Ainley, J., & Carstens, R. (2018). Teaching and learning international survey: STEM engagement trends. International Education Studies, 11(4), 21–34.

Boaler, J. (2020). Mathematical mindsets and collaborative STEM learning. Educational Leadership, 77(6), 28–33.

Gresalfi, M., & Cobb, P. (2019). Cultivating students' disciplinary identities in STEM classrooms. Review of Research in Education, 43(1), 62–98.

Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2021). Cooperative learning and achievement in STEM education. Educational Researcher, 50(5), 286–295.

National Academies of Sciences. (2022). STEM education for the future workforce. National Academies Press.

Schleicher, A. (2020). The impact of COVID-19 on education. OECD Publishing.

UNICEF. (2023). Rural education and digital inclusion. UNICEF Publications.

Wenger, E. (1998). Communities of practice: Learning, meaning, and identity. Cambridge University Press.

